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#### Abstract

We construct the smallest genuine representations of a non-linear covers of the group $\mathrm{SO}^{0}(p, q)$ where $p+q$ is odd. We determine correspondences of infinitesimal characters arising from restricting the smallest representations to dual pairs $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \oplus$ $\mathfrak{s o}(b)$ where $a+b=q$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $p$ and $q$ be two positive integers $\geq 2$, and let $G_{p, q}$, or simply $G$, be the central extension of $\mathrm{SO}^{0}(p, q)$ such that the maximal compact subgroup is $K=\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \operatorname{Spin}(q)$. This extension is the universal central extension if $p, q \neq 2$. Assume now that $p+q$ is odd. In particular, $p$ and $q$ have different parity. Without any loss of generality we shall assume that $p$ is odd and $q$ even. Let $Z_{G}$ be the center of $G$. Then

$$
Z_{G} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

However, there exists a unique element of order 2 in $Z_{G}$, denoted simply by -1 , such that $G /\langle-1\rangle$ is a linear group. An irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G$ is called genuine if $-1 \in Z_{G}$ acts as multiplication by -1 on $\pi$. The first part of this paper is devoted to constructing and establishing properties of one genuine representation $V$ of the group $G$ if $p-1<q$, two genuine representations $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$of $G$ if $p-1>q$, and four genuine representations $V^{+}, V^{-}, V_{o}^{+}$and $V_{o}^{-}$if $p-1=q$. If $p-1=q$ then the group $G$ is split with the absolute root system $\mathrm{B}_{q}$. In the special case when $q=2$ and $p=3$ then $G$ is isomorphic to the metaplectic group $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{4}(\mathbb{R})$, and the four representations are irreducible components of two oscillator representations. Thus, our representations can be viewed as a natural generalization of the oscillator representation to odd orthogonal groups.

The first step in the construction is an explicit description of $K$-types of these representations. To this end, we need to recall a description of irreducible representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$. Let $\Lambda(n)$ be the set of all highest weight of finite dimensional representations. We realize $\Lambda(n)$ as in Bourbaki [Bo], see Section 2 of this paper. In particular, any highest weight $\mu$ is given by

$$
\mu=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right)
$$

where $x_{i}$ are either all integers or half-integers. Let $-1_{n}$ be the unique element in $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ such that $\operatorname{Spin}(n) /\left\langle-1_{n}\right\rangle \cong \operatorname{SO}(n)$. We can divide all irreducible representations of

[^0]$\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ into two classes, depending whether $-1_{n}$ acts as 1 or -1 . This corresponds to writing
$$
\Lambda(n)=\Lambda(n, 0) \cup \Lambda\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$
where $\Lambda(n, 0)$ consists of integral and $\Lambda\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ of half-integral highest weights. Let $\tau_{n}^{\mu}$ denote the irreducible representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ with the highest weight $\mu$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ be the center of the enveloping algebra of $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$. Recall that the infinitesimal character $\chi$ defines a ring homomorphism $\chi: \mathcal{Z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We are now ready to describe the $K$-types of our representations. Assume that $p-1<q$. In Section 2, we define a surjective homomorphism

$$
j: \mathcal{Z}_{q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p}
$$

Let $\chi$ be the infinitesimal character of an irreducible representation $\tau$ of $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$. Then the composition $\chi \circ j$ is an infinitesimal character of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$, but not necessarily corresponding to a finite dimensional representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$. More precisely, for every $\lambda$ in $\Lambda(p, 0)$ define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{(q-p)}{2}(1, \ldots, 1) \in \Lambda\left(p, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
B(\lambda)=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in \Lambda(q, 0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\chi \circ j$ is an infinitesimal character of a finite dimensional representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$ if and only if the highest weight of the irreducible representation $\tau$ of $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$ is $A(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda$ in $\Lambda(p, 0)$. If that is the case, then $\chi \circ j$ is the infinitesimal character of the irreducible representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$ with the highest weight $B(\lambda)$. We now set $V$ - a potential ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-module - to be the $K$-module

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, 0)} \tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)} \otimes \tau_{q}^{B(\lambda)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $-1 \in Z_{G}$ is given by $\left(-1_{p},-1_{q}\right) \in K$ we see that $V$ must correspond to a genuine representation of $G$, once we have defined an action of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$, the Lie algebra of $G$, on $V$.

If $p-1 \geq q$ then the map $j$ goes in the opposite direction. The main difference here lies in the fact that $j$ is not surjective anymore. In particular, two different infinitesimal characters of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$ pull back to the same infinitesimal character of $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$. As a consequence, we can construct two potential $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules denoted by $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$. The story has an additional twist if $p-1=q$ enabling us to write down four potential modules in all, here. We refer the reader to Section 2 for details.

The structure of $K$-types is similar to the structure of $K$-types of representations of $\mathrm{SO}^{0}(p, q)$, where $p+q$ is even, that are local theta lifts of one dimensional unitary characters of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ (see $[\mathrm{KO}],[\mathrm{HL}]$ and $[\mathrm{ZH}]$ ).

From the explicit description of $K$-types it is not too difficult to determine the associated variety of $V$. Indeed, consider the nilpotent orbit of $\mathrm{SO}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the partition $\left(2^{p-1}, 1^{q-p+2}\right)$. It has a (unique) real form $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}$ for the group $\mathrm{SO}^{0}(p, q)$. Let
$\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$ be the $K_{\mathbb{C}^{-} \text {-orbit corresponding to }} \mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}$ via the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence. We have the following:

Theorem 1.1. Recall that $K=\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \operatorname{Spin}(q)$ with $p$ odd and $q$ even. Suppose $p-1<q$. The $K$-module $V$ extends to an irreducible and unitarizable $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$ module. Moreover:
(i) The infinitesimal character of $V$ is

$$
\mu_{p, q}=\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-3}{2}, \ldots, 1, \frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-3}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

(ii) The associated variety of $V$ is the closure of $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$.
(iii) The annihilator of $V$ in the enveloping algebra is the unique maximal ideal $J_{\max }$ with the infinitesimal central character $\mu_{p, q}$.
(iv) The module $V$ is the unique $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$-module with the $K$-types as in (1).

Here we remark that $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ is the real Lie algebra of $G_{p, q}$. The complexification of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$.

It follows, from a result of Schimd and Vilonen [SV], that the wave front set of $V$ is the real orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}$. This, combined with a result of Huang and Li [HL], justifies the use of the attribute smallest in the title of our paper.

Analogous results hold for $V^{+}, V^{-}$and $V_{o}^{+}, V_{o}^{-}$. It must be noted, however, that our results overlap with some already existing in the literature. For example, if $p=3$ then $V$ is the minimal representation of $G_{3, q}$ constructed in [Sa], [To] and [BKo]. If $p-1 \geq q$ then representations $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$were constructed by Knapp [Kn] and further studied by Trapa $[\mathrm{T}]$ by methods of cohomological induction. Our method is based on a simple observation that $V$ is admissible for $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$. Such phenomenon is called discretely decomposable restriction in [Ko2]. In particular, the restriction of $V$ to $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)$ decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible representations. We exploit this observation to define an explicit action of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)$ on $V$. This then defines an action of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ on $V$ because $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ is generated by $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)$ and $\mathfrak{s o}(q)$.

We then extend $V$ to a $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), \operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q))$-module. This extension is needed for the second part of this paper which is devoted to dual pair correspondences arising from restricting $V$ to dual pairs

$$
\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \times \mathrm{O}(b), \quad a+b=q .
$$

Using our explicit description of $V$ we can show that the Theta-lift of any finite dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathrm{O}(b)$ is irreducible. See Theorem 9.1 and Remark 9.2. We build on this to establish a correspondence of infinitesimal characters. Of course, if $a=0$ and $b=p$ then the correspondence of infinitesimal characters is given by $j$. In order to describe a general result let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}=\frac{1}{2}(n-2, n-4, n-6, \ldots) \in \Lambda(n) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the half sum of positive roots of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$. Given $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}\right)$ and $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{s}\right)$, we will denote $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{s}\right)$ by $(\beta, \gamma)$ if there is no fear of confusion. Let

$$
\delta_{p, q-1}=\left(\rho_{p}, \rho_{q}\right) .
$$

We remark here that $\delta_{p, q-1}$ is the infinitesimal character of two (smallest) genuine representations of $G_{p, q-1}$ obtained as Theta-lifts of the trivial and the sign representations of $\mathrm{O}(1)$. (Compare this with (7.2.1) in $[\mathrm{KO}]$ for the ladder representations of the even orthogonal groups.) This statement is in essence a special case ( $b=1$ ) of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $a+b=q$. The representation $V$ establishes the following correspondence of infinitesimal characters for the dual pair $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \times \mathfrak{s o}(b)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \rho_{a-1}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \rho_{b-p+1}\right) \text { if } b \geq p . \\
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b}{2}}, \mu_{p-b, q-b}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b}{2}}\right) \text { if } b<p \text { and } b \text { is even. } \\
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b-1}{2}}, \delta_{p-b+1, q-b}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b-1}{2}}\right) \text { if } b<p \text { and } b \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Warning: We are not claiming here that the correspondence of infinitesimal characters is one to one. For example, if $b$ is even and $b<p$ then the infinitesimal characters $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b}{2}}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{\frac{b}{2}}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{s o}(b)$ correspond to the same infinitesimal character of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a)$.

This paper is motivated by two beautiful papers of Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg [BFG1] and [BFG2] where, for split groups, a $p$-adic version of $V$ is constructed. In particular, the construction of $K$-types using the correspondence of infinitesimal characters induced by $j$ is a real analogue of the correspondence of Satake parameters obtained in [BFG2].
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## 2. Potential ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-modules

We use the standard realization of root systems of classical groups as in Bourbaki [Bo].
Representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$ where $p$ is odd. Let $-1_{p}$ be the unique element in $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$ such that $\operatorname{Spin}(p) /\left\langle-1_{p}\right\rangle \cong \operatorname{SO}(p)$. Recall that the highest weight of an irreducible finitedimensional representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$ is given by

$$
\lambda=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)
$$

where $x_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, x_{1} \geq \ldots \geq x_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \geq 0$ and $x_{1} \equiv \ldots \equiv x_{\frac{p-1}{2}}(\bmod \mathbb{Z})$. The corresponding irreducible representation is denoted by $\tau_{p}^{\lambda}$. Let $\Lambda(p)$ be the set of all highest weights. We can write

$$
\Lambda(p)=\Lambda(p, 0) \cup \Lambda\left(p, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

where if $\lambda \in \Lambda(p, e)$ then $\lambda_{i} \in e+\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $-1_{p}$ acts as 1 on $\tau_{p}^{\lambda}$ if and only if $\lambda$ is in $\Lambda(p, 0)$. The center $\mathcal{Z}_{p}$ of the enveloping algebra is equal to

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{p} \cong \mathbb{C}\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right]
$$

where $\omega_{k}$ is the $k$-th symmetric function in $x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{\frac{p-1}{2}}^{2}$. The value of an element $\omega$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{p}$ on the irreducible representation $\tau_{p}^{\lambda}$ is given by evaluating the polynomial $\omega$ on $\lambda+\rho_{p}$ where where $\rho_{p}$ is the half sum of positive roots as defined in (2).

Representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$ where $q$ is even. Let $-1_{q}$ be the unique element in $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$ such that $\operatorname{Spin}(q) /\left\langle-1_{q}\right\rangle \cong \mathrm{SO}(q)$. Recall that the highest weight of an irreducible finitedimensional representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$ is given by

$$
\lambda=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)
$$

where $x_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, x_{1} \geq \ldots \geq x_{\frac{q-2}{2}} \geq\left|x_{\frac{q}{2}}\right|$ and $x_{1} \equiv \ldots \equiv x_{\frac{q}{2}}(\bmod \mathbb{Z})$. The corresponding irreducible representation is denoted by $\tau_{q}^{\lambda}$. Let $\Lambda(q)$ be the set of all highest weights. We can write

$$
\Lambda(q)=\Lambda(q, 0) \cup \Lambda\left(q, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

where if $\lambda \in \Lambda(q, e)$ then $\lambda_{i} \in e+\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $-1_{q}$ acts as 1 on $\tau_{q}^{\lambda}$ if and only if $\lambda$ is in $\Lambda(q, 0)$.

The center $\mathcal{Z}_{q}$ of the enveloping algebra is equal to

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{q} \cong \mathbb{C}\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{\frac{q-2}{2}}, v_{\frac{q}{2}}\right]
$$

where $\omega_{k}$ is the $k$-th symmetric function in $x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{\frac{q}{2}}^{2}$, and $v_{\frac{q}{2}}=x_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\frac{q}{2}}$. The value of the element $\omega$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{q}$ on the irreducible representation $\tau_{q}^{\lambda}$ of $\mathfrak{s o}(q)$ with the highest weight $\lambda$ is equal to $\omega\left(\lambda+\rho_{q}\right)$ where $\rho_{q}$ is the half sum of positive roots as defined in (2).

We are now ready to define $K$-modules in the three cases, as follows:
Case 1: $p-1<q$. We have a surjective map $j: \mathcal{Z}_{q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p}$ given by $j\left(\omega_{k}\right)=\omega_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $j=0$ on remaining generators of $\mathcal{Z}_{q}$. For every $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)$ in $\Lambda(p, 0)$, we define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2}(1, \ldots, 1) \in \Lambda\left(p, \frac{1}{2}\right) \text { and }  \tag{3}\\
B(\lambda)=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in \Lambda(q, 0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where there are $\frac{q-p+1}{2}$ copies of 0 in $B(\lambda)$. Notice that the infinitesimal characters of $\tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)}$ and $\tau_{q}^{B(\lambda)}$ are matched by $j$, that is, for every $\omega$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{q}$

$$
j(\omega)\left(A(\lambda)+\rho_{p}\right)=\omega\left(B(\lambda)+\rho_{q}\right) .
$$

Moreover, using the explicit description of $j$, one easily checks that there are no other pairs of representations with matching infinitesimal characters. Thus it is natural to consider

$$
V=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, 0)} \tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)} \otimes \tau_{q}^{B(\lambda)}
$$

which is a representation of the compact Lie group $\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \operatorname{SO}(q)$. In this way we have constructed $K$-types of $V$.

Case 2: $p-1 \geq q$. We have a surjective map $j: \mathcal{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{q}$ given by $j\left(\omega_{k}\right)=\omega_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, \frac{q-2}{2}, j\left(\omega_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)=v_{\frac{q}{2}}^{2}$ and $j=0$ on remaining generators of $\mathcal{Z}_{q}$, if any. Note that $j$ is not surjective here. Indeed, consider the involution $\sigma$ of $\Lambda(q)$ (and of $\mathcal{Z}_{q}$ ) defined by defined by

$$
\sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{q-2}{2}}, x_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{q-2}{2}},-x_{\frac{q}{2}}\right) .
$$

Then the image of $\mathcal{Z}_{p}$ is equal to the subalgebra of $\sigma$-invariant polynomials in $\mathcal{Z}_{q}$. In particular, two representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$ will be matched with one representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$. More precisely, for every $\lambda$ in $\Lambda(q+1,0)$ define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A(\lambda)=(\lambda, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \Lambda(p, 0) \\
B^{+}(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{p-q}{2}(1, \ldots, 1) \in \Lambda\left(q, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
B^{-}(\lambda)=\sigma\left(B^{+}(\lambda)\right) \in \Lambda\left(q, \frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the infinitesimal characters of $\tau_{q}^{B^{+}(\lambda)}$ and $\tau_{q}^{B^{-}(\lambda)}$ are matched with the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)}$. Moreover, if $p-1>q$, there are no other matching pairs of representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$. We can now define $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$, two representations of the compact Lie group $\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \operatorname{Spin}(q)$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{ \pm}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(q+1,0)} \tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)} \otimes \tau_{q}^{B^{ \pm}(\lambda)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The separation of $K$-types into $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$is natural since $V^{+} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ and $V^{-}$contain no $K$-type in common if $p-1>q$. (Here $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_{0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)=\mathfrak{k}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{0}$ is the Cartan decomposition.)

Case 3: $p-1=q$. Finally, if $p-1=q$, there are additional two families of matching pairs of representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$ and $\operatorname{SO}(p-1)$. For every $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)$ in $\Lambda\left(p, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B^{+}(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{1}{2}(1, \ldots, 1) \in \Lambda(p-1,0) \\
B^{-}(\lambda)=\sigma\left(B^{+}(\lambda)\right) \in \Lambda(p-1,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
V_{o}^{ \pm}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda\left(p, \frac{1}{2}\right)} \tau_{p}^{\lambda} \otimes \tau_{p-1}^{B^{ \pm}(\lambda)}
$$

## 3. Representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(n, 1)$

In this section we review some facts about representations of the group $\operatorname{Spin}(n, 1)$. The maximal compact subgroup is $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$. We identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ of $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ with the set of real skew-symmetric $n$ by $n$ matrices. Let $E_{k l}$ be the elementary $n$ by $n$ matrix which is 1 at the $k l$-th entry and zero elsewhere. Let

$$
I_{k l}=E_{l k}-E_{k l} .
$$

We need to fix some additional notation. As in the previous section, let $\Lambda(n, e)$, where $e=0, \frac{1}{2}$, denote the set of highest weights $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{[n / 2]}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ where $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}+e$. Hence the set of highest weights is $\Lambda(n)=\Lambda(n, 0) \cup \Lambda\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. If $n$ is even, then we define $\sigma(\lambda)=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n / 2-1},-\lambda_{n / 2}\right)$. Let

$$
\mathbf{1}_{[n / 2]}=(1, \ldots, 1) \text { and } \mathbf{0}_{[n / 2]}=(0, \ldots, 0)
$$

where there are $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ copies of 1 's and 0 's respectively. We set $\varepsilon_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ where 1 appears at the $i$-th position.

Gelfand-Zetlin basis. We now define the Gelfand-Zetlin basis of a finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$. Our main references are [VK] and [Zhe].

Given $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{[n / 2]}\right) \in \Lambda(n, e)$, let $\tau_{n}^{\lambda}$ denote the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ with highest weight $\lambda$ as in the introduction. We will equipped it with an $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ invariant Hermitian inner product. It is well known that the restriction of $\tau_{n}^{\lambda}$ to $\mathfrak{s o}(n-1)$ is multiplicity free and

$$
\tau_{n}^{\lambda}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \succ \lambda^{1}} \tau_{n-1}^{\lambda^{1}}
$$

where $\lambda^{1}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{[(n-1) / 2]}^{1}\right) \in \Lambda(n-1, e)$ and $\lambda \succ \lambda^{1}$ is defined as follows. There are two cases:

- If $n$ is odd then $[n / 2]=(n-1) / 2$ and $\lambda \succ \lambda^{1}$ is defined by

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{1}^{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{2}^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{(n-1) / 2]} \geq \lambda_{(n-1) / 2}^{1} \geq-\lambda_{(n-1) / 2}
$$

- If $n$ is even, then $\lambda \succ \lambda^{1}$ is defined by

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{1}^{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{2}^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n / 2-1} \geq \lambda_{n / 2-1}^{1} \geq\left|\lambda_{n / 2}\right| .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{s o}(i)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ spanned by $I_{k l}$ for all $k, l \leq i$. Consider the chain of decreasing Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{s o}(n) \supset \mathfrak{s o}(n-1) \supset \ldots \supset \mathfrak{s o}(2)$. By restricting $\tau_{n}^{\lambda}$ successively to these subalgebras, $\tau_{n}^{\lambda}$ becomes a direct sum of 1 dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$. By choosing a unit vector from each of these 1 dimensional subspaces, we have an
orthonormal basis of $\tau_{n}^{\lambda}$ consisting of unit vectors called the Gelfand-Zetlin basis. Thus, each basis vector is represented by an array

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left(\lambda, \lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{n-2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{i} \in \Lambda(n-i, e)$ and $\lambda_{i} \succ \lambda_{i+1}$. The corresponding unit vector will be denoted by $\mathbf{v}_{M}$. Note that this vector generates the irreducible representation $\tau_{n-i}^{\lambda^{i}}$ under the action of $\mathfrak{s o}(n-i)$.

An explicit formula of $I_{i+1, i} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{M}$ as a linear combination of vectors in the Gelfand-Zetlin basis is given on page 364 in [VK].

Induced representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(n, 1)$. Let $\mu \in \Lambda(n-1)$ and let $\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)$ denote the Harish-Chandra module of the normalized induced representation

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Spin}(n-1) \cdot \mathbb{R}^{+} \cdot N}^{\mathrm{Spin}(n, 1)}\left(\tau_{n-1}^{\mu} \otimes \exp (c) \otimes 1\right)
$$

We will equip $\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)$ with an Hermitian form given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\operatorname{Spin}(n)} f_{1}(k) \overline{f_{2}(k)} d k \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)$ and $d k$ is the Haar measure on $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ with with the total volume 1 .

We note that $\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)$ is multiplicity free as a representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$. Indeed

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)=\bigoplus_{\lambda \succ \mu} \tau_{n}^{\lambda}
$$

By the above discussion and (5), we can assign an orthonormal Gelfand-Zetlin basis to each $\tau_{n}^{\lambda}$. Thus, $\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)$ has a basis consisting of vectors $\mathbf{v}_{M}$ one for every array $M$ as in (5) starting with $\lambda \succ \mu$. This basis is denoted by $B_{\mu}$. We shall assume that this basis is orthonormal under the Hermitian form in (6).

Hirai [Hi] and Klimyk [KG] determined all the irreducible subquotients of $\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)$ with the help of the orthonormal basis above. They also obtained a classification of the unitary dual of $\operatorname{Spin}(n, 1)$. In the rest of this section, we will reproduce some of their results below which we will need later. These results are entirely due to or easy consequences of [Hi] and [KG].

First, we shall give an explicit action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ on the induced representations. To that end, we identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ with the subspace of $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ real matrices spanned by $I_{k l}$ for $l<k \leq n$ and

$$
J_{n+1, i}=E_{i, n+1}+E_{n+1, i} .
$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$ is generated by $I_{i+1, i}(i<n)$ and $J_{n+1, n}$. The elements $I_{i+1, i}$ $(i<n)$ preserve $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$-types, and the action is given on page 364 in $[\mathrm{VK}]$. The most interesting, of course, is the action of $J_{n+1, n}$ which is given as follows. Let $\mathbf{v}_{M}$ be in $B_{\mu}$.

Let $M^{+s}$ (resp. $M^{-s}$ ) denote the array obtained from $M$ by increasing (resp. decreasing) $\lambda_{s}$ by 1 . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n+1, n} \mathbf{v}_{M}=\sum_{s=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \omega_{s}(c, \mu, M) \mathbf{v}_{M^{+s}}-\sum_{s=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \omega_{s}\left(-c, \mu, M^{-s}\right) \mathbf{v}_{M^{-s}}+c \omega_{0}(\mu, M) \mathbf{v}_{M} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{s}(c, \mu, M)=\left(\lambda_{s}+\frac{n+1}{2}-s+c\right) \omega_{s}(\mu, M)
$$

and $\omega_{s}(\mu, M)$ is defined on pages 418-419 in [VK]. It depends on $\mu$ and the first two rows $\lambda \succ \lambda^{1}$ of $M$ only. Also $\omega_{0}(\mu, M)=0$ if $n$ is even. If $n$ is odd then

$$
\omega_{0}=\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\mu_{i}+\frac{n-1}{2}-i\right)\left(\lambda_{i}^{1}+\frac{n-1}{2}-i\right)}{\left(\lambda_{i}+\frac{n+1}{2}-i\right)\left(\lambda_{i}+\frac{n-1}{2}-i\right)} .
$$

Note that $\omega_{0}(\mu, M) \neq 0$ if $\mu$ is half integral. This observation is crucial in the proof of uniqueness of $V$. More precisely, consider the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{s o}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{0}$ of $\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1)$. Let $\Pi$ be an $(\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1), \operatorname{Spin}(n))$-module with multiplicity free $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$-types. This situation occurs for all induced representations and, therefore, all irreducible $(\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1), \operatorname{Spin}(n))$ modules. Let $\tau^{\lambda}$ a $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$-type in $\Pi$. Since $\mathfrak{p}_{0} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the action of $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$ on $\tau^{\lambda}$ followed by the projection on $\tau^{\lambda}$ defines a $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$-equivariant map

$$
p_{\lambda}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \tau^{\lambda} \rightarrow \tau^{\lambda} .
$$

If $n$ is even then $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the standard representation of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$, does not contain a trivial weight. Therefore the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \tau^{\lambda}$ does not contain $\tau^{\lambda}$. This shows that $p_{\lambda}$ is always zero if $n$ is even. In general, $p_{\lambda}$ depends on the action of $J_{n+1, n}$. Uniqueness of $V$ is based on the following.

Proposition 3.1. Assume that $n$ is odd. Let $\Pi$ be an irreducible $(\mathfrak{s o}(n, 1), \operatorname{Spin}(n))$ module with half-integral types. Assume that $p_{\lambda}=0$ for every $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$-type $\tau^{\lambda}$ of $\Pi$. Then $\Pi$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, 0)$ for some $\mu$ in $\Lambda\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. In particular, $\Pi$ is determined by its minimal $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$-type.

Proof. Suppose $\Pi$ is a subquotient of $\operatorname{Ind}(\mu, c)$ for some $\mu$ in $\Lambda\left(\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right], \frac{1}{2}\right)$. The map $p_{\lambda}$ is determined by the action of the operator $J_{n+1, n}$. Since $\mu$ is half-integral, as we remarked above, $\omega_{0}(\mu, M) \neq 0$ for all $M$. This shows that $p_{\lambda} \neq 0$ for all types of the induced representation unless $c=0$. This shows that $\Pi$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ind}(\mu, 0)$ for some $\mu$. Since $\operatorname{Ind}(\mu, 0)$ is irreducible and determined by its minimal type among all induced representations with $c=0$, the proposition follows.

Discrete series. The group $\operatorname{Spin}(n, 1)$ has square integrable representations if and only if $n$ is even. Let $\mu \in \Lambda(n-1, e)$. Suppose that $c+e+\frac{1}{2}$ is a positive integer. Then
$\operatorname{Ind}_{n, 1}(\mu, c)$ contains two discrete series representations (or limits of discrete series if $c=0$ and $\left.e=\frac{1}{2}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$-types

$$
D^{+}=\bigoplus_{\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}} \geq c^{\prime}} \tau_{n}^{\lambda} \text { and } D^{-}=\bigoplus_{\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}} \leq-c^{\prime}} \tau_{n}^{\lambda}
$$

where $c^{\prime}=c+\frac{1}{2}$.
Remark. We would like to point out three errors in [VK]. The right hand side of Eq. (6) on page 418 should be divided by 2 . The factor $\left(4 l_{s, 2 k+1}-1\right)$ in the denominator on the right hand side of Eq. (8) is incorrect. It should be $\left(4 l_{s, 2 k+1}^{2}-1\right)$. The first line of page 419 should be $l_{i}=r_{i}+\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]-i$. Also see page 86 in [Hi] for the correct formulas.

## 4. Uniqueness

In this section we show that the $K$-modules introduced in Section 2 can be extended to $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules in at most one way. Moreover, the extension is necessarily irreducible and unitarizable.

Let $U$ denote the real vector space with basis $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p+q}\right\}$. We equip $U$ with a symmetric bilinear form B of signature $(p, q)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{B}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \epsilon_{i}
$$

where $\epsilon_{i}=1$ if $i \leq p$ and $\epsilon_{i}=-1$ if $i>p$. We realize $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ as $(p+q) \times(p+q)$-matrices skew symmetric with respect to the bilinear form B. Let $E_{i j}$ denote the $(p+q) \times(p+q)$ square matrix whose $(i, j)$-th entry is 1 and 0 elsewhere. Of special interest will be the following elements in $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
I_{i+1, i}=E_{i, i+1}-E_{i+1, i} \text { for } i \neq p \\
J_{p+1, p}=E_{p, p+1}+E_{p+1, p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $i=1,2 \ldots$ let $U_{i}$ denote the subspace of $U$ spanned by $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{i}\right\}$. Let $q=a+b$ for some non-negative integers $a$ and $b$. Then the stabilizer of $U_{p+a}$ is

$$
\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(b) .
$$

These algebras are of special interest to us. We pick $K$ so that its Lie algebra is the stabilizer of $U_{p}$. We also note that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)$ (case $a=1$ ) is generated by $\mathfrak{s o}(p)$ and $J_{p+1, p}$.
Case of $V$.
Proposition 4.1. Assume that the $K$-module $V$ extends to $a \mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$-module. Then this extension is unique, irreducible and unitarizable. Moreover, the restriction of $V$ to $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1) \times \mathrm{SO}_{q-1}$ decomposes discretely as a direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, 0)} \operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}\left(\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, 0\right) \otimes \tau_{q-1}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)}
$$

Proof. Recall that

$$
V=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, 0)} \tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)} \otimes \tau_{q}^{B(\lambda)}
$$

where, for $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) \in \Lambda(p, 0), A(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ and $B(\lambda)=\left(\lambda, \mathbf{0}_{\frac{q-p+1}{2}}\right)$.
As in the previous section, let $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{M}\right\}$ be an orthonormal Gelfand-Zetlin basis of $\tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)}$ defined with respect to the chain of subgroups $\mathfrak{s o}\left(U_{p}\right) \supseteq \mathfrak{s o}\left(U_{p-1}\right) \supseteq \ldots$. Similarly let $U_{p}^{c}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $U_{p}$ and let $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{N}\right\}$ be an orthonormal Gelfand-Zetlin basis of $\tau_{q}^{B(\lambda)}$ defined with respect to the chain of subgroups $\mathfrak{s o}\left(U_{p}^{c}\right) \supseteq \mathfrak{s o}\left(U_{p+1}^{c}\right) \supseteq \ldots$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ and $N$ are arrays with the first row $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ respectively, is a basis of $V$. Since $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ is generated by $\mathfrak{s o}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(q)$ and $J_{p+1, p}$ it suffices to show that $J_{p+1, p}$ acts in only one possible way on the basis $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N}$.

Note that $J_{p+1, p}$ and $\mathfrak{s o}(p)$ generate $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)$. Define an equivalence relation on the basis vectors of $V$ by $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N} \sim \mathbf{v}_{M^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N^{\prime}}$ if the arrays $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ have all rows equal except, perhaps, the first row. Fix an equivalence class $C$. Let $\Pi$ be the subspace of $V$ spanned by all basis vectors in $C$. If $V$ extends to a $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$-module, then $\Pi$ is an $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1), \operatorname{Spin}(p))$ module. Its $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$-types are described as follows. Let $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, 0 \ldots, 0\right)$ be the second row of the array $N$ for all basis vectors in the equivalence class $C$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(q-p) \mathbf{1}_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \in \Lambda\left(p-1, \frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $A(\lambda) \succ \mu$. In fact, the $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$-types of $\Pi$ are the same as the types of the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}(\mu, c)$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}(\mu, 0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Proposition 3.1 we must show that $p_{A(\lambda)}=0$ for any type $\tau^{A(\lambda)}$ of $\Pi$. In other words, we must show that the action of $J_{p+1, p}$ on $\tau^{A(\lambda)}$ composed with the projection on $\tau^{A(\lambda)}$ is zero. But this is easy. Indeed, the maximal $\tau^{A(\lambda)}$-isotypic summand of $V$ is given by

$$
\tau^{A(\lambda)} \otimes \tau^{B(\lambda)}
$$

The action of $J_{p+1, p}$ is a part of the action of $\mathfrak{p}_{0} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{q}$ (of the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q))$. Since $q$ is even, $\mathbb{C}^{q} \otimes \tau^{B(\lambda)}$ does not contain $\tau^{B(\lambda)}$ as a summand. This shows that $p_{A(\lambda)}=0$ and $\Pi$ must be isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}(\mu, 0)$. Let $T: \operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}(\mu, 0) \rightarrow \Pi$ be an isomorphism. In particular, the action of $J_{p+1, p}$ must be equal to $T \circ \pi\left(J_{p+1, p}\right) \circ T^{-1}$ where $\pi\left(J_{p+1, p}\right)$ is the action of $J_{p+1, p}$ on $\operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}(\mu, 0)$. Since $T$ is unique up to a non-zero scalar the action of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ on $V$ is unique.

Next we show that $V$ is unitarizable. Let $\bar{V}$ denote the Hermitian dual of $V$. Since it has the same $K$-type as $V$, it follows that $\bar{V}$ and $V$ are isomorphic ( $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$-modules. This isomorphism induces a non-degenerate $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$-invariant Hermitian form on $V$.

We may assume that it is positive definite on the minimal $K$-type. We claim that the Hermitian form is positive definite so $V$ is unitarizable. Let $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda}:=\tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)} \otimes \tau_{q}^{B(\lambda)}$ where $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)$. Suppose $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda-\varepsilon_{s}}$ is nonzero and the signatures on $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda}$ and $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda-\varepsilon_{s}}$ are different. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)-\varepsilon_{s}+\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \in \Lambda(p-1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Pi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}\left(\mu^{\prime}, 0\right)$. Then $\Pi^{\prime}$ intersects the two $K$-types $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda}$ and $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda-\varepsilon_{s}}$ non-trivially. The restriction of the invariant Hermitian form of $V$ to $\Pi^{\prime}$ is positive definite since $\Pi^{\prime}$ is unitarizable. This contradicts the fact that the signatures are different on $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda}$ and $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda-\varepsilon_{s}}$ and proves our claim.

Finally we show that $V$ is irreducible. Suppose $W$ is a proper submodule of $V$. By taking its orthogonal complement if necessary, we assume that $W$ does not contain the minimal $K$-type. Let $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda}=\tau_{p}^{A(\lambda)} \otimes \tau_{q}^{B(\lambda)}$ be a $K$-type in $W$ such that $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}$ is minimal. Since $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda}$ is not the minimal $K$-type, $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda-\varepsilon_{s}}$ is nonzero for some $s \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. We define $\mu^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}\left(\mu^{\prime}, 0\right)$ as in (11). Then $\Pi^{\prime}$ intersects $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda}$ and $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda-\varepsilon_{s}}$ non-trivially. Hence $W$ contains $\tau_{p, q}^{\lambda-\varepsilon_{s}}$. This contradicts that fact that $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}$ is minimal in $W$. Therefore $V$ is irreducible and this completes the proof of the proposition.

By scaling the basis vectors $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N}$ in (8) if necessary, we may assume that the basis vectors they form an orthonormal basis of $V$ and, the action of $J_{p+1, p}$ on $\Pi$ in (10) is the same as the action of $J_{p+1, p}$ on the basis vectors $B_{\mu}$ of the induced representation in (7):

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{p+1, p} \mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N} & =\sum_{s=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\lambda_{s}+\frac{q+1}{2}-s\right) \omega_{s}(\mu, M) \mathbf{v}_{M^{+s}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N^{+s}}  \tag{12}\\
& -\sum_{s=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\lambda_{s}+\frac{q-1}{2}-s\right) \omega_{s}\left(\mu, M^{-s}\right) \mathbf{v}_{M^{-s}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N^{-s}}
\end{align*}
$$

$\underline{\text { Case of } V_{o}^{ \pm} \text {. We remind the reader that } q=p-1 \text { holds here. }}$
Proposition 4.2. Assume that $V_{o}^{+}$(respectively $V_{o}^{-}$) extends to $a \mathfrak{s o}(p, p-1)$-module. Then this extension is unique, irreducible and unitarizable. Moreover, the restriction of $V_{o}^{ \pm}$to $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1) \times \mathrm{SO}(p-2)$ decomposes discretely as a direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p-2,0), \lambda_{\frac{p-3}{2}}^{2} \geq 1} \operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}\left((\lambda, 1)-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, 0\right) \otimes \tau_{p-2}^{\lambda}
$$

The proof is identical to one of Proposition 4.1, so it is omitted.
Case of $V^{ \pm}$. Since $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$, as $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$-modules have been constructed by Knapp in $[\mathrm{Kn}]$, here we describe a somewhat different result needed to construct $V, V_{o}^{+}$and $V_{o}^{-}$ via the Wallach transfer of $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$.

Proposition 4.3. The representation $V^{+}$(resp. $V^{-}$) is the unique representation with $K$ types as in (4). The restriction of $V^{+}$(respectively $V^{-}$) to $\mathrm{SO}(p-1) \times \mathfrak{s o}(q, 1)$ decomposes discretely as a direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{-1,0), \lambda \frac{q}{2}+1=0} \tau_{p-1}^{\lambda} \otimes \Theta(\lambda)
$$

where $\Theta(\lambda)$ is the discrete series representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(q, 1)$ (or limit of discrete series if $p-1=q$ and $\lambda_{\frac{q}{2}}=0$ ) whose minimal $\operatorname{Spin}(q)$-type has highest weight $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)+\frac{p-q}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{q}{2}}$ (respectively $\sigma\left(\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)+\frac{p-q}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)$ ) and the infinitesimal character

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)+\frac{p-q}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{q}{2}}+\rho_{q+1} .
$$

## 5. Existence

Since $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ is generated by $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)$ and $\mathfrak{s o}(q)$, the formula for $J_{p+1, p}$ in (12) gives a representation of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ on $V$, provided that certain relations have been verified. The same also applies to $V^{ \pm}$and $V_{o}^{ \pm}$. While the verification is straightforward, it is also rather cumbersome. It is much quicker to construct $V$ and $V_{o}^{ \pm}$from $V^{ \pm}$using the Wallach transfer.

If $p-1 \geq q$ then Knapp [Kn] has constructed two representations with the same $K$ types as $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$. Trapa $[\mathrm{T}]$ has further established some properties of $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$. In order to state their results, define

$$
\mu_{p, q}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-3}{2}, \ldots, 1, \frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-3}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right) \text { if } p<q-1 \\
\left(\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q-2}{2}, \ldots, 1, \frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{p-4}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right) \text { if } p \geq q-1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 5.1. If $p-1 \geq q$ then $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$can be extended to unitarizable and irreducible $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$-modules. The infinitesimal character of $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$is $\mu_{p, q}$ and the annihilator in the universal algebra is the unique maximal two sided ideal with the infinitesimal character $\mu_{p, q}$.

We can now use the Wallach transfer to construct $V$ from $V^{ \pm}$. Take first $p-1<$ q. Consider $V^{ \pm}$for $\mathfrak{s o}(q+1, p-1)$. The restriction of $V^{ \pm}$to $\mathrm{SO}(q) \times \mathfrak{s o}(p-1,1)$ is given by Proposition 4.3. Let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)}^{i}$ denote the $i$-th derivative of the Zuckerman functor with respect to $\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)$. Then, by [Wa1], $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s o}(p, 1)}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ applied to a discrete series representation produces an irreducible finite dimensional representation with the same infinitesimal character. It follows that the $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$-modules

$$
\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s o}(p-1,1)}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(V^{+}\right) \text {and } \Gamma_{\mathfrak{s o}(p-1,1)}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(V^{-}\right)
$$

have the same types as $V$. By uniqueness of $V$ in Proposition 4.1, these two representations must be isomorphic. Since Zuckerman's functor can only increase the annihilator, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2. If $p-1<q$ then $V$ can be extended to unitarizable and irreducible $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$-module. The infinitesimal character of $V$ is $\mu_{p, q}$ and the annihilator in the universal algebra is the unique maximal two sided ideal with the infinitesimal character $\mu_{p, q}$.

Finally, assume that $p-1=q$. Consider $V^{ \pm}$for $\mathfrak{s o}(p, p-1)$. The restriction of $V^{ \pm}$to $\mathrm{SO}(p-1) \times \mathfrak{s o}(p-1,1)$ is given by Proposition 4.3. Then, by [Wa1], $\mathfrak{s o}(p, p-1)$-modules

$$
\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s o}(p-1,1)}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(V^{+}\right) \text {and } \Gamma_{\mathfrak{s o}(p-1,1)}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(V^{-}\right)
$$

have the same types as $V_{o}^{+}$and $V_{o}^{-}$, respectively. Again, since Zuckerman's functor can only increase the annihilator, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.3. If $p-1=q$ then $V_{o}^{ \pm}$can be extended to unitarizable and irreducible $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, p-1), K)$-modules. The infinitesimal character of $V_{o}^{ \pm}$is $\mu_{p, p-1}$ and the annihilator in the universal algebra is the unique maximal two sided ideal with the infinitesimal character $\mu_{p, p-1}$.

## 6. Associated variety

In this section we will compute the associated varieties of $V, V^{ \pm}$and $V_{o}^{ \pm}$. A definition and basic properties of associated varieties could be found in [Vo2]. In order to simplify notation, define

$$
m=\min \left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{q}{2}\right) .
$$

Recall that nilpotent orbits of the complex group $\mathrm{O}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ are parameterized by partitions of $n$ such that every even part has an even multiplicity. The classification of (real) nilpotent $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$-orbits on $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ is refined as follows: To every partition we attach the Young diagram as usual. Then we insert signs + and - into the boxes corresponding to odd (length) rows such that the signs alternate. Then this signed partition parameterizes an orbit of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ if and only if the difference of the number of positive and negative signs is equal to the signature $p-q$. Two signed partitions correspond to the same real orbit if and only if one signed partition can be obtained form another by permuting the rows of the same length.
Case $p-1<q$. Consider the partition $\left(2^{p-1}, 1^{q-p+2}\right)$. The number of odd rows is $q-p+2$. On the other hand, the signature is equal to $p-q$. Thus we can mark the Young diagram by putting + in the first row of length 1 and - in all other. Let $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}$ be the corresponding nilpotent $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$-orbit. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ denote the complexified Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$ be the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit in $\mathfrak{p}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}$ by the KostantSekiguchi correspondence. We shall now describe this orbit in more details. Let $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}=$ $\mathrm{SO}_{p}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SO}_{q}(\mathbb{C})$. Recall that $\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{C}^{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{q}$ under the action of $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let (, ) denote a $\mathrm{SO}_{p}(\mathbb{C})$-invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^{p}$. Pick a basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{p}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{p}$ so that $\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=0$ except $\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{e}_{p+1-i}\right)=1$. We do likewise for $\mathbb{C}^{q}$ to get a basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{q}\right\}$.

Using these two bases, we can identify $\mathfrak{p}$ with the set of $p$ by $q$ matrices so that the $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{j}$ corresponds to the elementary matrix $E_{i, j}$. Define

$$
P=E_{1,1}+E_{2,2}+\cdots+E_{m, m} \in \mathfrak{p} .
$$

Let $\iota$ be the standard representation of $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$. Then it is a simple exercise to show that

- the null space of $\iota(P)$ has the dimension $q+1$.
- $\iota(P)^{2}=0$, that is, $\iota(P)$ is a nilpotent element.

This implies that $P$ belongs to the complex nilpotent $\mathrm{O}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$-orbit corresponding to the partition $\left(2^{p-1}, 1^{q-p+2}\right)$. Thus, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$ is generated by $P$.

For the rest of this section, we identify $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathfrak{p}^{*}$ using the Killing form, and we identify adjoint orbits with co-adjoint orbits.

Let $U_{n}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the standard filtration on the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$. Let $F_{n}=U_{n}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot \tau_{\text {min }}$ be the subspace in $V$ where $\tau_{\text {min }}=\tau_{p}^{\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}_{m}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the minimal $K$-type of $V$. The graded module

$$
\operatorname{Gr}(V)=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} / F_{n-1}
$$

is a $\left(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{p}), K_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$-module generated by $\tau_{\text {min }}=F_{0}$. Note that $\mathfrak{k} \cdot F_{n} \subseteq F_{n}$ so $\mathfrak{k}$ acts trivially on the graded module. By induction we have $\mathfrak{p} \cdot F_{n}=F_{n+1}$. By the formula $J_{p+1, p} \in \mathfrak{p}$ on the $K$-types of $V$ in (12), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gr}_{n}(V)=F_{n} / F_{n-1}=\bigoplus_{\lambda} \tau_{p}^{\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}_{m}} \otimes \tau_{q}^{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right) \in \Lambda(p, 0)$ such that $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}=n$. Let $I$ be the annihilator ideal of $\operatorname{Gr}(V)$ in $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{p})$. It is also the annihilator ideal of $\tau_{\min }=\operatorname{Gr}_{0}(V)$. The variety in $\mathfrak{p}^{*} \cong \mathfrak{p}$ cut out by $I$ is called the associated variety of $V$. We now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 6.1. The associated variety of $V$ is $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$, the algebraic closure of the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit. More precisely,

$$
\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{p}) / I=\Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}\right),
$$

the ring of regular functions on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$.
Proof. The first step in the proof is a description of the space of regular functions on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$ as a $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-module.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}\right)$ be the algebra of regular functions on the closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$. We have the following isomorphism of $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathrm{SO}_{p} \times \mathrm{SO}_{q}$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}\right)=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, 0)} \tau_{p}^{\lambda} \otimes \tau_{q}^{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that we have identified $\mathfrak{p}$ with the set of complex $p \times q$ matrices. Consider the $m \times m$ block located in the upper left corner. The stabilizer of this block in $\mathrm{SO}_{p} \times \mathrm{SO}_{q}$ is a product of two maximal parabolic subgroups $Q_{1}=L_{1} N_{1}$ and $Q_{2}=L_{2} N_{2}$ with Levi factors

$$
L_{1}=\mathrm{GL}_{m} \text { and } L_{2}=\mathrm{GL}_{m} \times \mathrm{SO}_{q-2 m}
$$

Since $P$ is the identity matrix located in the $m \times m$ block, it is now clear that the stabilizer of $P$ in $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}(P)=\triangle \mathrm{GL}_{m} \times N_{1} \times \mathrm{SO}_{q-2 m} \times N_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\triangle \mathrm{GL}_{m}=\mathrm{GL}_{m}$ is diagonally embedded in $\mathrm{GL}_{m} \times \mathrm{GL}_{m} \subseteq L_{1} \times L_{2}$. It follows that every regular function on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$ gives a right $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}(P)$-invariant regular function on $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The Peter-Weyl Theorem implies that the subspace of $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}(P)$-invariant functions on $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has precisely the types given by the right hand side of (14). This implies that $\Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}\right)$ is contained in the right hand side of (14)

To prove the opposite inclusion, we consider $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{p})$ as a $\mathrm{GL}_{p} \times \mathrm{GL}_{q}$-module, since $\mathfrak{p}$ has been identified with the set of $p \times q$-matrices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{p})=\bigoplus_{Y} \tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{p}}^{Y} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{q}}^{Y} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over Young diagrams $Y$ with at $\operatorname{most} \min (p, q)$ rows (see Thm 2.1.2 in [Ho2]). Recall that a joint highest weight vector of $\tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{p}}^{Y} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{GL}}^{q}$ is given as a product of determinants of $r \times r$ square blocks located in the upper left hand corner of $\mathfrak{p}$. If $Y$ has at most $m$ rows then the blocks needed are of size $r \leq m$ and the highest weight vector does not vanish on the matrix $P$. Hence it generates a representation of $\bar{K}_{\mathbb{C}}$ isomorphic to $\tau_{p}^{\lambda} \otimes \tau_{q}^{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})}$ in $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{p})$ as well as in $\Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}\right)$. The lemma is proved.

Let $J$ be the prime ideal in $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{p})$ corresponding to $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2^{p-1}}^{K}$. Since $I$ and $J$ have the same Krull dimension and $J$ is prime, in order to show that $J=I$, it suffices to show that $J \subseteq I$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. Let $\tau=\tau_{p}^{\gamma} \otimes \tau_{q}^{\gamma^{\prime}}$ be a $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-type in $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(\mathfrak{p})$ which does not lie in the ideal I. Then $\gamma^{\prime}=(\gamma, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\tau$ is generated by a joint highest weight vector of the representation $\tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{p}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{p-m}\right)} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{q}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{q-m}\right)}$ in $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(\mathfrak{p})$ in (16).

Proof. Note that any irreducible summand of $\tau \otimes \tau_{\min }$ is isomorphic to $\tau_{p}^{\gamma+\nu} \otimes \tau_{q}^{\gamma^{\prime}}$ for some weight $\nu$ of $\tau_{p}^{\frac{q-p}{2} 1}$. Since $\tau$ is not contained in $I, \tau \cdot \tau_{\min } \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{Gr}_{n}(V)$. By (13), $\gamma^{\prime}=(\lambda, \mathbf{0})$ for some $\lambda$ and $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}=n$ and

$$
\gamma+\nu=\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{p-1}{2}} .
$$

By the theory of $\mathrm{SO}_{p} \times \mathrm{GL}_{q}$ harmonics on $\mathfrak{p}^{*}$ (for example see [Ho1]), the representation $\tau_{p}^{\gamma}$ first appears in degree

$$
\sum \gamma_{i}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}+\frac{q-p}{2} m-\sum_{i} \nu_{i}=n+\frac{q-p}{2} m-\sum_{i} \nu_{i} \geq n .
$$

The last equality holds if and only if $\nu$ is the highest weight vector of $\tau_{p}^{\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}}$ ie $\nu=\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}$. Since, by the assumption, $\tau_{p}^{\gamma}$ occurs in the degree $n$ we must have $\lambda=\gamma$. On the other hand, the first occurrence of $\tau_{p}^{\gamma}$ happens in $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(\mathfrak{p})$ within the harmonics. It follows that $\tau$ occurs in the harmonics $\tau_{p}^{\gamma} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{q}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{q-m}\right)}$. By the branching rule from $\mathrm{GL}_{q}$ to $\mathrm{SO}_{q}, \tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{q}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{q-m}\right)}$ contains $\tau_{q}^{(\gamma, \mathbf{0})}$ with multiplicity one and it is generated by the highest weight vector. This joint highest weight vector also generates $\tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{p}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{p-m}\right)} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{q}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{q-m}\right)}$ in $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(\mathfrak{p})$. This proves the lemma.

Since the highest vector of $\tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{p}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{p-m}\right)} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{GL}_{q}}^{\left(\gamma, \mathbf{0}_{q-m}\right)}$ does not vanish on $P$, we have shown that any $K$-type outside $I$ is also outside $J$. This shows that $J \subseteq I$, as desired. Theorem 6.1 is proved.

Case $p-1 \geq q$. In this case we only state the results. Consider the partition $\left(2^{q}, 1^{p-q}\right)$. There is only one real form of this orbit for $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$. Indeed, since the number of odd rows is $p-q$ and the signature is $p-q$ we have to enter + in all rows of length one. Let $\mathcal{O}_{2^{q}}$ be the corresponding nilpotent $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$-orbit. By Theorem 9.3.4 [CM], $\mathcal{O}_{2^{q}}$ is a union of two $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^{0}$-orbits, denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{2 q}^{+}$and $\mathcal{O}_{2 q}^{-}$respectively. Let $\mathcal{O}_{2 q}^{K,+}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2 q}^{K,-}$ be the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits in $\mathfrak{p}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{2 q}^{+}$and $\mathcal{O}_{2 q}^{-}$, respectively, via the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence. If we identify $\mathfrak{p}$ with the space of complex $p \times q$ matrices then the two $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits are generated by elements

$$
P^{+}=E_{1,1}+\cdots+E_{m, m} \text { and } P^{-}=E_{1,1}+\cdots+E_{m-1, m-1}+E_{m, m+1}
$$

Theorem 6.4. The associated variety of $V^{+}$and of $V_{o}^{+}$is the closure of the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2^{q}}^{K,+}$. The associated variety of $V^{-}$and of $V_{o}^{-}$is the closure of the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2 q}^{K,-}$.

One also could prove the above theorem for $V^{ \pm}$using the results of Knapp [ Kn ] and Trapa [T].

## 7. Langlands Parameters

In this section we will compute Langlands' parameters of $V, V^{ \pm}$and $V_{o}^{ \pm}$. In order to simplify some notation, let

$$
m=\min \left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{q}{2}\right) .
$$

Case $p \leq q-1$. Fix a minimal parabolic subgroup $P_{\min }=M_{\min } A_{\min } N_{\min }$. The root system of $\bar{G}_{p, q}$ relative to $A_{\text {min }}$ is $\mathrm{B}_{p}$. We shall realize this root system in a standard fashion,
so that

$$
\alpha_{1}=\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1}=\varepsilon_{p-1}-\varepsilon_{p} \text { and } \beta=\varepsilon_{p}
$$

are simple roots. Long root spaces are one-dimensional and for every long root $\alpha$ we have an embedding

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}: \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_{p, q}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is the metaplectic cover $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $Z_{\alpha}$ be the image, under $\varphi_{\alpha}$, of the center of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $Z_{\alpha}$ is a cyclic group of order 4 . On the other hand, for every short root and $\beta$ in particular we have an embedding

$$
\varphi_{\beta}: \operatorname{Spin}(q-p+1,1) \rightarrow G_{p, q} .
$$

The existence of this embedding is a combination of two facts. First, the rank one Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ corresponding to $\beta$ is $\mathfrak{s o}(q-p+1,1)$ which gives a map from $\operatorname{Spin}(q-p+1,1)$ into $\operatorname{Spin}(p, q)$. Second, $\operatorname{Spin}(q-p+1,1)$ is topologically simply connected, so the map lifts to $G_{p, q}$. Let $Z_{\beta}$ be the image of the center of $\operatorname{Spin}(q-p+1,1)$. Then $Z_{\beta}$ is a cyclic group of order 2 .

Pseudo-spherical principal series. Here we define the principal series representations associated to the minimal parabolic subgroup. Note that the connected component of $M_{\text {min }}$ is $\operatorname{Spin}(q-p)$, and

$$
M_{\min }=\operatorname{Spin}(q-p) \times Z_{\beta} \times Z_{\alpha_{1}} \times_{\mu_{2}} \ldots \times_{\mu_{2}} Z_{\alpha_{p-1}}
$$

where $\mu_{2}=\{ \pm 1\}$ is the subgroup of $G_{p, q}$ such that $G_{p, q} / \mu_{2}$ is linear. Define

$$
M_{\min , \beta}=\operatorname{Spin}(q-p) \times Z_{\beta}
$$

Then $M_{\min } / M_{\min , \beta}$ is a Heisenberg group of order $2^{p}$. Let $S$ be the unique representation of this group such that the center $\mu_{2}$ acts via the unique non-trivial character. The dimension of $S$ is $2^{m}$.

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\text {min }}^{*}$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}(\chi)$ is dominant. Then we have a pseudo-spherical, standard module (normalized induction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\min }}[S \otimes \exp (-\chi)] . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generalized principal series. For every $k=1, \ldots, m$, the group $G_{p, q}$ has a parabolic subgroup $P=M A N$ - depending on $k$ - such that $A=\left(\mathbb{R}_{\text {long }}^{+}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{\text {short }}^{+}$and the connected component of $M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}=\operatorname{Spin}(q-p) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times_{\mu_{2}} \ldots \times_{\mu_{2}} \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where there are $m$ factors of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. More precisely,
(i) The factors of $A=\left(\mathbb{R}_{\text {long }}^{+}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{\text {short }}^{+}$correspond to $m$ long roots $\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}+$ $\varepsilon_{4}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2 k-3}+\varepsilon_{2 k-2}, \varepsilon_{2 k}+\varepsilon_{2 k+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{p-1}+\varepsilon_{p}$ and the short root $\varepsilon_{2 k-1}$.
(ii) The factors $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ in (18) correspond to the following $m$ long roots: $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots$, $\alpha_{2 k-3}, \alpha_{2 k}, \alpha_{2 k+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1}$.

Define

$$
M_{\beta}=M_{0} \times Z_{\beta} .
$$

The quotient $M / M_{\beta}$ is a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space of rank $m$. It is spanned by $h_{\alpha}$, where $h_{\alpha}$ is an element of order 4 in $M_{\alpha}$ as $\alpha$ runs through the following $m$ long roots:

$$
\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{4}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 k-2}, \alpha_{2 k-1}, \alpha_{2 k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p-2}
$$

Assume now that $p<q-1$ so that $\frac{q-p}{2} \geq 3 / 2$. Let $D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{+}$and $D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{-}$be the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic discrete series representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the lowest weight of $D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{+}$is $\frac{q-p}{2}$ and the highest weight of $D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{-}$is $-\frac{q-p}{2}$. The infinitesimal character of these two representation is $\frac{q-p-2}{2}$. Define a representation $W$ of $M$ by

$$
W=\operatorname{Ind}_{M_{\beta}}^{M}\left[\left(D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{+}\right)^{\otimes m}\right],
$$

where $\operatorname{Spin}(q-p) \times Z_{\beta}$ acts trivially. We claim that $W$ is irreducible. Indeed, the restriction of $W$ to $M_{\beta}$ is a sum of $2^{m}$ terms of type

$$
D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{m}}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}= \pm$ (all possible choices). Since these summands are mutually nonisomorphic, irreducibility of $W$ follows at once from Mackey's criterion.

Define a standard module for $G_{p, q}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G_{p, q}}[W \otimes \exp (-\eta)] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta & =\eta_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+\ldots+\eta_{k} \varepsilon_{k}+\ldots+\eta_{m+1}\left(\varepsilon_{p-1}+\varepsilon_{p}\right) \\
& =\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{1} \ldots, \eta_{k-1}, \eta_{k-1}, \eta_{k}, \eta_{k+1}, \eta_{k+1}, \ldots, \eta_{m+1}, \eta_{m+1}\right), \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\eta_{1}>\ldots>\eta_{m+1}>0$. This representation has a unique submodule with the minimal $K$-type $\tau_{p}^{\frac{q-p}{2} 1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.
Theorem 7.1. We have the following:
(i) Suppose $q=p+1$. Then $V$ is the Langlands submodule of a normalized induced (pseudo-spherical) principal series representation (17) with

$$
\chi=\frac{1}{2}(p, p-1, p-2, \ldots, 1) .
$$

(ii) Suppose $q>p+1$. Then $V$ is the unique Langlands submodule of a normalized induced principal series representation in (19) where $k=1$ and

$$
\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{p+q}{4}, \frac{p-1}{2}, \ldots, 2,1\right)+\frac{q-p-2}{4} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{p+1}{2}} .
$$

The submodule $V$ in each case is generated by the minimal $K$-type $\tau_{p}^{\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of the principal series representation.

Proof. The first case, when $q=p+1$, is trivial since $V$ is a pseudospherical representation of the split group $G_{p, p+1}$. See also [A-V] and [Wa2].

Lemma 7.2. Assume that $q>p+1$. Then $V$ is a submodule of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G_{p, q}}[W \otimes \exp (-\eta)]$ for some $k$.

Proof. This is a standard procedure so we only give a sketch. We use Proposition 4.1 in [Vo1]. There one constructs $\lambda$ in $i t_{0}^{*}$ from the minimal $K$-type. Its stabilizer in $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ is a theta stable parabolic $\mathfrak{l}+\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\mathfrak{l}_{0}=\mathfrak{s u}_{m, m}+\mathfrak{s l}_{2}+\mathfrak{u}_{1}^{\frac{q-p-1}{2}}$. We may choose a split torus $\mathfrak{a}_{0}$ in $\mathfrak{l}_{0}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_{0}$ is the Lie algebra of $A$ in $P=M A N$ in (18). The discrete series parameter on $M$ could be read off from $\lambda$.

Since the discrete series representation $D\left(\frac{q-p}{2}\right)^{+}$of ${\widetilde{S_{2}}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ embeds into the pseudospherical principal series representation of $\widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\exp \left(\frac{q-p-2}{2}\right)$ on the "A" part of the minimal parabolic subgroup, it follows from induction in stages that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}_{P}[W \otimes \exp (-\eta)] \subseteq \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\min }}[S \otimes \exp (-\chi)] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi=\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{p}\right)=\eta+\xi$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{1} \ldots, \eta_{k-1}, \eta_{k-1}, \eta_{k}, \eta_{k+1}, \eta_{k+1}, \ldots, \eta_{m+1}, \eta_{m+1}\right) \\
\xi=\frac{q-p-2}{4}(1,-1, \ldots, 1,-1,0,1,-1, \ldots, 1,-1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and 0 is at the position $2 k-1$. Since the infinitesimal character of the pseudo-spherical principal series is $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\text {min }}}[S \otimes \exp (-\chi)]$ is $\left(\chi, \rho_{q-p}\right)$ we see that $\left(\eta+\xi, \rho_{q-p}\right)$ is equal to $\mu_{p, q}$ up to a Weyl group element. Let $a=\frac{q-p-2}{4}$. If we remove $\rho_{q-p}$ from $\left(\eta+\xi, \rho_{q-p}\right)$ and $\mu_{p, q}$ then, up to a permutation of entries, we have

$$
\left(\eta_{1}+a, \eta_{1}-a, \ldots, \eta_{k-1}+a, \eta_{k-1}-a, \eta_{k}, \eta_{k+1}+a, \eta_{k+1}-a, \ldots, \eta_{m+1}+a, \eta_{m+1}-a\right)
$$

equals $\left(1,2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{q-p}{2}, \frac{q-p+2}{2}, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{2}\right)$.
If $k \neq 1$ then, by comparing the largest entries, $\eta_{1}+a=\frac{q-1}{2}$. This implies that the second entry $\eta_{1}-a=\frac{p+1}{2}$ which is not an entry of $\mu_{p, q}$. Hence $k=1$ and $\eta_{1}=\frac{q-1}{2}$. Since $q>p+1$, the next largest entry is $\frac{q-3}{2}$ and we conclude that $\eta_{2}+a=\frac{q-3}{2}$ and $\eta_{2}-a=\frac{p-1}{2}$. We can apply this argument repeatedly to conclude that $\eta$ has the desired form. Theorem 7.1 is proved.

In the above computation of the Langlands parameter, we only use the minimal $K$-type and infinitesimal character of $V$. This gives the following corollary.

Corollary 7.3. The module $V$ is the unique irreducible $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), K)$-module with the minimal $K$-type $\tau_{p}^{\frac{p-q}{2} 1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and infinitesimal character $\mu_{p, q}$.

Next we consider $p-1 \geq q$ so $m=\frac{q}{2}$. Let $P_{\min }=M_{\min } A_{\min } N_{\min }$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup of $G_{p, q}$. The restricted root system for $A_{\min }$ is of type $\mathrm{B}_{q}$. We realize $\mathrm{B}_{q}$ so that the simple roots are

$$
\alpha_{1}=\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}=\varepsilon_{q-1}-\varepsilon_{q}, \text { and } \beta=\varepsilon_{q} .
$$

We assume that $N_{\text {min }}$ is "spanned" by positive roots. We discuss the split ( $p-1=q$ ) and non-split $(p-1>q)$ cases separately.
Case $p-1>q$. Let $P=M A N$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G_{p, q}$ containing $P_{\min }$ such that the connected component $M_{0}$ of $M$ is

$$
M_{0}=\operatorname{Spin}(p-q) \times{\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times_{\mu_{2}} \ldots \times_{\mu_{2}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}(\mathbb{R}) .}^{2}
$$

Here there are $m$ copies of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the restricted roots $\varepsilon_{2 i-1}-\varepsilon_{2 i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Define

$$
M_{\beta}=M_{0} \times Z_{\beta} .
$$

Since $p-1>q$ we have $\frac{1}{2}(p-q) \geq \frac{3}{2}$. We recall that $D\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)^{+}$denotes the discrete series representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ with the lowest weight $\frac{p-q}{2}$ and $D\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)^{-}$is its dual module. Let $E=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}\right)$ be an $m$-tuple of signs where $\epsilon_{i}= \pm$. We define

$$
W^{E}=\operatorname{Ind}_{M_{\beta}}^{M}\left[D\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes D\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{m}}\right]
$$

where the subgroup $\operatorname{Spin}(p-q) \times Z_{\beta}$ acts trivially. The representations $W^{E}$ are irreducible by the Mackey irreducibility criterion. Indeed, the restriction of $W^{E}$ back to $M_{\beta}$ consists of summands

$$
D\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{1}^{\prime}} \otimes \ldots \otimes D\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{m}^{\prime}}
$$

for all possible combinations of signs $\left(\epsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_{i}^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_{i}$. (Here we identify $\epsilon=+$ and - with $\epsilon=1$ and -1 respectively. There are $2^{m-1}$ such combinations which is precisely the index of $M_{\beta}$ in $M$.) Thus, not only are representations $W^{E}$ irreducible, but two such representations $W^{E}$ and $W^{E^{\prime}}$ are isomorphic if and only if the above product condition is satisfied. Thus, we have two isomorphism classes which we denoted by $W^{+}$and $W^{-}$, where the sign is $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_{i}$.

Next, $A \cong\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{m}$, with the coordinates given by the long roots $\varepsilon_{2 i-1}+\varepsilon_{2 i}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Let $\exp \eta$ denote a character of $A$ where $\eta=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_{i}\left(\varepsilon_{2 i-1}+\varepsilon_{2 i}\right)$. If $\eta_{1}>\ldots>\eta_{m-1}>\left|\eta_{m}\right|$ then the induced representation

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G_{p, q}}\left(W^{ \pm} \otimes \exp (-\eta)\right)
$$

contains a unique irreducible submodule. Its minimal $K$-type is the minimal type of $V^{ \pm}$.

Theorem 7.4. If $p>q+1$ then $V^{ \pm}$is the irreducible Langlands submodule of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G_{p, q}}\left[W^{ \pm} \otimes \exp (-\eta)\right]$ where

$$
\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{\frac{q}{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q-2}{2}, \ldots, 1\right)+\frac{1}{4}(p-q-2) \mathbf{1}_{\frac{q}{2}} .
$$

Case $p-1=q$. Let $P=M A N$ be the parabolic subgroup in the standard position such that $M$ contains the following as a subgroup of finite index:

$$
M_{\beta}=Z_{\beta} \times \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times_{\mu_{2}} \ldots \times_{\mu_{2}} \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Here $\beta$ is the unique short simple root and, as before, $Z_{\beta}$ the image of of the center of $\operatorname{Spin}(2,1) \cong \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ under $\varphi_{\beta}$. There are $m$ copies of $\widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, corresponding to the long simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 m-1}$. Define an irreducible representation of $M$ - trivial on $Z_{\beta}$ - by

$$
W_{o}^{ \pm}=\operatorname{Ind}_{M_{\beta}}^{M}\left[D\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes D\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{m}}\right]
$$

which, in an analogy with the case $p>q+1$, depends only on the sign of $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_{i}$. Then the Langlands submodule of

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G_{p, q}}\left[W_{o}^{ \pm} \otimes \exp (-\eta)\right]
$$

contains the minimal $K$-type of $V_{o}^{ \pm}$.
The minimal parabolic $P_{\min }=M_{\min } A_{\min } N_{\min }$ of $M_{p, p-1}$ is the Borel subgroup. Let $\exp (\eta)$ be a dominant character of $A$ where $\eta=\sum_{i=1}^{q} \eta_{i} \varepsilon_{i}$. Let $S^{ \pm}$be the irreducible representation of $M_{\min }$ such that the Langlands submodule of the pseudo-spherical principal series representation

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\text {min }}}^{G_{p, p-1}}\left[S^{ \pm} \otimes \exp (-\eta)\right]
$$

contains the minimal $K$-type of $V^{ \pm}$.
Theorem 7.5. Here $p-1=q$ and $m=\frac{p-1}{2}=\frac{q}{2}$.
(i) $V^{ \pm}$is a pseudo-spherical submodule of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\min }}^{G_{p, p-1}}\left[S^{ \pm} \otimes \exp (-\eta)\right]$ where

$$
\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{p-1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(p-1, p-2, \ldots, 2,1)
$$

(ii) $V_{o}^{ \pm}$is the irreducible Langlands submodule of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G_{p, p-1}}\left[W_{o}^{ \pm} \otimes \exp (-\eta)\right]$ where

$$
\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right)=(m, m-1, \ldots, 1)-\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{1}_{m}
$$

## 8. An extension to disconnected group

The main purpose of this section is to extend $V$ to a $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), \operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q))$-module. This is necessary to obtain a one to one correspondence when we next restrict $V$ to $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \times \mathrm{O}(b)$ where $a+b=q$, and $\mathrm{O}(b) \subseteq \mathrm{O}(q)$.

Representations of $\mathrm{O}(n)$. We first describe a classification of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{O}(n)$. Let $\Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n))$ denote the subset of elements in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such of the form

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, \mathbf{0}_{n-k}\right) \text { or }\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, \mathbf{1}_{n-2 k}, \mathbf{0}_{k}\right)
$$

where the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are positive integers, and $k \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Irreducible representations of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ are parameterized by $\Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n))$ as follows (see [GoW] or [Ho2]). Roughly speaking, for every $\lambda$ in $\Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n)), \tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda}$ is the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ generated by a highest weight vector of the finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ with the highest weight $\lambda$. In particular, note that

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, \mathbf{1}_{n-2 k}, \mathbf{0}_{k}\right)}=\operatorname{det}_{n} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, \mathbf{0}_{n-k}\right)} .
$$

Elements of $\Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n))$ are called highest weights of $\mathrm{O}(n)$. Given a highest weight $\lambda$ in $\Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n))$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\lambda)=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, \mathbf{0}_{[n / 2]-k}\right) \in \Lambda(n) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda}$ to $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is irreducible and isomorphic to $\tau_{n}^{c(\lambda)}$ unless $n=2 k$ and $\lambda_{k}>1$. In this case $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum $\tau_{n}^{c(\lambda)} \oplus \tau_{n}^{\sigma(c(\lambda))}$. In any case the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda}$ is $c(\lambda)+\rho_{n}$.

Next we discuss branching rule and tensor which are well known. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in$ $\Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n))$ and $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \in \Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n-1))$. We write $\lambda \succ_{\mathrm{O}} \lambda^{\prime}$ if

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n-1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{n}
$$

The restriction of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda}$ to $\mathrm{O}(n-1)$ is given by

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda}=\oplus_{\lambda \succ 0} \lambda^{\prime} \tau_{\mathrm{O}(n-1)}^{\lambda^{\prime}} .
$$

This branching rule can be used to define another Gelfand-Zetlin basis of unit vectors of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda}$ by successive restrictions. Then each vector is represented by an array $N=\left(\lambda, \lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{n-1}\right)$ such that $\lambda^{i} \in \Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n-i))$ and $\lambda^{i-1} \succ_{\mathrm{O}} \lambda^{i}$. We will denote the corresponding basis vector by $\mathbf{v}_{N}^{\mathrm{O}}$. We warn that this basis is closely related but different from the Gelfand-Zetlin basis of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ introduced in Section 3. Let $N^{+s i}$ (respectively $N^{-s i}$ ) denote the array obtained from $N$ by adding (respectively subtracting) 1 from the $s$-th entry of $\lambda^{i}$.

Lemma 8.1. Let $I_{i+1, i}$ be the element in $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ introduced in the beginning of Section 3. Then

$$
I_{i+1, i} \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\mathrm{O}}=\sum_{s=1}^{i} \alpha_{s} \mathbf{v}_{N^{+s, n-i}}^{\mathrm{O}}+\sum_{s=1}^{i} \beta_{s} \mathbf{v}_{N^{-s, n-i}}^{\mathrm{O}}
$$

where the number $\alpha_{s}$ (respectively $\beta_{s}$ ) is non-zero as long as the array $N^{+s, n-i}$ (respectively $\left.N^{-s, n-i}\right)$ satisfies the Gelfand-Zetlin pattern.

Proof. We only give a sketch. First, note that it suffices to prove the statement in the case $i+1=n$. In this case the proof involves writing out the basis vectors $\mathbf{v}_{N}^{O}$ in terms of the basis vectors $\mathbf{v}_{N}$ and then applying the formula for the action of $I_{n, n-1}$ on the basis $\mathbf{v}_{N}$ given on the page 364 in [VK].

Extension. We now extend $V$ to a $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), \operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q))$-module. This extension will be very convenient for investigating dual pairs correspondences. There are two possible extensions and one differs from the other by the determinant character of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$. Let $\varsigma$ be the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots, 1,-1)$ in $\mathrm{O}(q)$. We define an action of $\varsigma$ on the basis vector $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N}$ by $(-1)^{n_{1}-n_{2}}$ where $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are the sums of the entries of the top and second top rows of the Gelfand-Zetlin array $N$ respectively. With respect to this extension $V$ has $\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q)$-types

$$
\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, 0)} \tau_{p}^{\lambda+\frac{p-q}{2} \mathbf{1}} \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(q)}^{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})}
$$

where, we abbreviated, $\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ and $\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}_{q-\frac{p-1}{2}}$. Note that $V$ has a basis consisting of vectors $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\mathrm{O}}$.

## 9. Compact dual pair correspondences

In this section we restrict the $(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q), \operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q))$-module $V$ to $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \times \mathrm{O}(b)$ where $a+b=q$ and $\mathrm{O}(b)$ is included in $\mathrm{O}(q)$ in a standard way. Since $V$ is $\operatorname{Spin}(p)$-admissible, we have a direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\bigoplus_{\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda(\mathrm{O}(b))} \Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}^{\prime}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ are admissible and unitarizable Harish-Chandra modules of $G_{p, a}$. Every summand on the right hand side of (23) is spanned by basis vectors $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\mathrm{O}}$ of $V$ where $\lambda^{q-b}=\lambda^{\prime}$ in $N$. We now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 9.1. Let $\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda(O(b))$ and $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ defined in (23). If $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is nonzero, then it is an irreducible unitarizable Harish-Chandra module of $G_{p, a}$. Moreover, if $\lambda^{\prime} \neq \gamma^{\prime}$ then $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Theta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$ are not isomorphic.

Remark 9.2. Theorem A in Part II of [KO] proves a similar result for the ladder representation of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ where $p+q$ is even. Also compare with Theorem 3 in $[\mathrm{Ko1}]$ and, [GW].

Proof. We first describe the minimal $\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \operatorname{SO}(a)$-type of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$. To this end, for any $n$-tuple $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of real numbers define its height to be

$$
|x|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right| .
$$

If $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ then $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is contained in $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(q)}^{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})}$ for some $\lambda$ in $\Lambda(p, 0)$. It follows, from branching rules from $\mathrm{O}(q)$ to $\mathrm{O}(b)$, that the number of non-zero entries in $\lambda^{\prime}$ is less than or equal to

$$
u=\min \left(b, \frac{p-1}{2}\right) .
$$

In other words, we can write $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{u}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Furthermore, the smallest height $\lambda$ in $\Lambda(p, 0)$ such that $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(q)}^{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})}$ contains $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{u}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in this case, $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is contained in $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(q)}^{(\lambda, 0)}$ with multiplicity one. It follows that $\mathrm{SO}(a)$ acts on this summand trivially. Summarizing, we have shown that the $\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(a)$ type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{p}^{\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2} 1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

appears with multiplicity one in $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ where $\lambda$ is given in terms of $\lambda^{\prime}$ as in (24). It is the minimal type of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly, if $\lambda^{\prime} \neq \gamma^{\prime}$, then the minimal $\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \operatorname{SO}(a)$-types of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Theta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$ are distinct.

It remains to show that $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is irreducible. We will prove this by induction on $a$. When $a=1$, this is Proposition 4.1. By a see-saw pair argument, the restriction of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ to $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a-1)$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\oplus_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}} \Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over all $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \in \Lambda(O(b+1))$ such that $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \succ_{\mathrm{O}} \lambda^{\prime}$.
Suppose $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is reducible. Then it decomposes completely since it is admissible and unitarizable. Let $\Pi$ be a proper submodule of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ which does not contain the minimal $\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \operatorname{SO}(a)$-type (25). By the induction assumption, the summands on the right hand side of (26) are irreducible and mutually non-isomorphic. Hence $\Pi$ is a direct sum of some summands $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in (26). Let $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a summand of $\Pi$ such that the height of $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ is minimal. Since $\Pi$ does not contain the minimal type (25),

$$
\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right|>\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right| .
$$

This shows that for some $s$ we have $\lambda^{\prime \prime}-\varepsilon_{s} \succ_{\mathrm{O}} \lambda^{\prime}$. Notice that the intersection

$$
\left(\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes \tau_{O(b+1)}^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right) \cap\left(\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \otimes \tau_{O(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)
$$

contains a vector of the form $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\mathrm{O}}$ where the array $N$ contains $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \succ_{\mathrm{O}} \lambda^{\prime}$. By Lemma 8.1 $I_{p+a, p+a-1}$, acting on this vector, gives a non-zero summand involving $\mathbf{v}_{M} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{N^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ where $N^{\prime}$ is obtained from $N$ by replacing $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ by $\lambda^{\prime \prime}-\varepsilon_{s}$. In other words $\Pi$ contains $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}-\varepsilon_{s}\right)$. However, this contradicts the assumption that the height $\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is minimal for $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ contained in $\Pi$. Hence $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is irreducible. The theorem is proved.

## 10. Action of Casimir operators

Let $a$ and $b$ be a pair of non-negative integers such that $a+b=q$. Then $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(b)$ is a dual pair in $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$. In this section we shall compute a matching of the Casimir operators of the two Lie algebras acting on $V$.

Let $\Omega_{n}$ denote the Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$. We remind the reader that $\Omega_{n}$ acts by the scalar $\|\lambda\|^{2}-\left\|\rho_{n}\right\|^{2}$ on a representation of $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ with the infinitesimal character $\lambda$, and that the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{n}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is $\lambda^{\prime}+\rho_{n}$. Likewise, for $\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda(\mathrm{O}(n)), \tau_{\mathrm{O}(n)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ has infinitesimal character $c\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+\rho_{n}$ where $c\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ was defined in (22).

Proposition 10.1. Let $\Omega_{p+a}$ and $\Omega_{b}$ be the Casimir elements of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a)$ and $\mathfrak{s o}(b)$, respectively. Then

$$
\Omega_{p+a}-\Omega_{b}+\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{p+q}{2}-b\right)
$$

annihilates $V$.
Proof. In order to simplify notation we shall work with $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ instead of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$. (The Casimir operator is invariant of the choice of real form.) Recall that the algebra $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ can be identified with the set of skew-symmetric matrices. The Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to

$$
\Omega_{p+q}=-\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq p+q} I_{i j}^{2} .
$$

Clearly, in order to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the operator annihilates every summand $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ in (23). Since the factors of any summand are irreducible representations, we know that is that $\Omega_{p+a}$ and $\Omega_{b}$ act as scalars on the summand. Thus, in order to evaluate $\Omega_{p+a}$ on $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$, it suffices to do so on a carefully chosen vector. We define, as in the previous section,

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{u}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in \Lambda(p, 0)
$$

Then the restriction of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(q)}^{(\lambda, 0)}$ to $\mathrm{O}(b)$ contains $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ with multiplicity one. It follows that we have a $\operatorname{Spin}(p) \times \operatorname{SO}(a) \times \mathrm{O}(b)$-type

$$
\delta=\tau_{p}^{\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \tau_{O(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}
$$

in $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$. Since $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is contained in $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(q-1)}^{\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \mathbf{0}_{q-b-1}\right)} \subseteq \tau_{\mathrm{O}(q)}^{\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \mathbf{0}_{q-b}\right)}$ it follows from Proposition 4.1 that $\delta$ is contained in

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}\left(\lambda+\frac{q-p}{2} \mathbf{1}, 0\right) \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(q-1)}^{\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \mathbf{0}\right)} .
$$

Since the infinitesimal character of a principal series $\operatorname{Ind}_{p, 1}(\mu, 0)$ is $\left(\mu+\rho_{p-1}, 0\right)$, a simple calculation shows that

$$
\Omega_{p+1}-\Omega_{p}=-\sum_{i=1}^{p} I_{p+1, i}^{2}=-\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)-\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|
$$

on $\delta$. Let $j$ be an integer such that $p+1<j \leq p+a$, and $w$ a Weyl group element which permutes $\varepsilon_{p+1}$ and $\varepsilon_{j}$. We can pick a representative of $w$ in $\operatorname{SO}(a)$. Since $w\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{p} I_{p+1, i}^{2}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{p} I_{j i}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{SO}(a)$ acts trivially on $\delta$, the sums $-\sum_{i=1}^{p} I_{j i}^{2}$ for $p+1<j \leq p+a$ act by the same scalar on $\delta$. Summing over all $j$, we get that

$$
-\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+a} \sum_{i=1}^{p} I_{j i}^{2}=-a\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)-a\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|
$$

on $\delta$. Since

$$
\Omega_{p+a}=\Omega_{p}+\Omega_{a}-\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+a} \sum_{i=1}^{p} I_{j i}^{2}
$$

and the values of $\Omega_{p}, \Omega_{a}$ and $\Omega_{b}$ on $\delta$ are easily calculated, lemma is reduced to a straightforward check.
Proposition 10.2. Let $\lambda^{\prime}$ in $\Lambda(b, 0)$ such that $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. Recall that the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}^{(b)}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is

$$
\lambda=c\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+\rho_{b}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\left[\frac{b}{2}\right]}\right) .
$$

Let $r=\min \left(\left[\frac{b}{2}\right], \frac{p-1}{2}\right)$. Then there exists numbers $\nu_{r+1}, \ldots, \nu_{\left[\frac{p+a}{2}\right]}$ independent of $\lambda^{\prime}$ such that the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}, \nu_{r+1}, \ldots, \pm \nu_{\left[\frac{p+a}{2}\right]}\right)$. In particular, $V$ establishes a correspondence

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}, \nu_{r+1}, \ldots, \pm \nu_{\left[\frac{p+a}{2}\right]}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}, \rho_{b-2 r}\right)
$$

for the dual pair $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(b)$.
Proof. Let $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{\left[\frac{p+a}{2}\right]}\right)$ be the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Assume that $\lambda^{\prime \prime}=$ $\lambda^{\prime} \pm \varepsilon_{i}$ is a highest weight for some $i \leq \min \left(b, \frac{p-1}{2}\right)$. Then Lemma 8.1 shows that the action of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ on $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ followed by the projection on $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes \tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ is non-zero. Since

$$
\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)=\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(b) \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{0}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{0} \otimes \mathbb{C}=\mathbb{C}^{p+a} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{b}$, it follows that $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a subquotient of $\mathbb{C}^{p+a} \otimes \Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$. This shows that the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\nu \pm \varepsilon_{j}$ for some $j$ or it is equal to $\nu$. The last possibility might happen only for $p+a$ odd, ie $b$ is even.

Before we state the next lemma we note that the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ is

$$
c\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)+\rho_{b}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda-\varepsilon_{i} \text { if } \lambda^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime}-\varepsilon_{i} \text { or } \lambda^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{b-i+1} \text { for some } i<\frac{b+1}{2} \\
\lambda+\varepsilon_{i} \text { if } \lambda^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{i} \text { or } \lambda^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime}-\varepsilon_{b-i+1} \text { for some } i<\frac{b+1}{2} \\
\lambda \text { if } \lambda^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime} \pm \varepsilon_{\frac{b+1}{2}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The last case occurs only for $b$ odd.
Lemma 10.3. Let $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ as above. Assume that for some $\lambda^{\prime}$ the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is given by $\nu=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}, \nu_{r+1}, \ldots, \nu_{\left[\frac{p+a}{2}\right]}\right)$ for some $\nu_{i}$.
(i) Suppose that the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ is $\lambda+\varepsilon_{i}$ for some $i \leq r$. Then the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\nu+\varepsilon_{i}$.
(ii) Suppose that the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ is $\lambda-\varepsilon_{i}$ for some $i \leq r$. Then the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\nu-\varepsilon_{i}$.
(iii) Suppose that the infinitesimal character of $\tau_{\mathrm{O}(b)}^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ is $\lambda$. This happens only if $b$ is odd and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime} \pm \varepsilon_{\frac{b+1}{2}}$. Then the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\sigma(\nu)$.

Proof. First, we claim that the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not equal to $\nu$ if $b$ is even. If the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is $\nu$ then the matching of Casimir operators in Proposition 10.1 implies that $\left\|\lambda \pm \varepsilon_{i}\right\|^{2}=\|\lambda\|^{2}$ and $\lambda_{i}=\mp 1 / 2$. However, $\lambda_{i}$ is an integer since $b$ is even. This is a contradiction and it proves our claim.

Let us prove (i). The infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\nu \pm \varepsilon_{j}$ for some $j$. Assume first that it is $\nu+\varepsilon_{j}$. Then Proposition 10.1 implies that

$$
\left\|\nu+\varepsilon_{j}\right\|^{2}-\|\nu\|^{2}=\left\|\lambda+\varepsilon_{i}\right\|^{2}-\|\lambda\|^{2}
$$

that is, $\nu_{j}=\lambda_{i}$. Permutation of $i$-th and $j$-th places - as an element of the absolute Weyl group of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a)$ - replaces $\nu+\varepsilon_{j}$ by $\nu+\varepsilon_{i}$, as desired. Similarly, if the infinitesimal character is $\nu-\varepsilon_{i}$, then $\nu_{j}=-\lambda_{i}$. If $i \neq j$ then we can replace $\nu-\varepsilon_{j}$ by $\nu+\varepsilon_{i}$ by permuting the two places and changing the signs of both of them. If $i=j$ then $\nu_{i}=-\lambda_{i}$ implies that $\lambda_{i}=0$. It follows that $b$ is even and $p+a$ odd. Hence the absolute Weyl group of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a)$ is a B type and $\nu+\epsilon_{i}$ can be replaced by $\nu-\epsilon_{i}$. The case (ii) is proved analogously. For the last case, the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\nu \pm \varepsilon_{j}$ for some $j$. Then Proposition 10.1 implies that $\left\|\nu \pm \varepsilon_{j}\right\|^{2}=\|\nu\|^{2}$, that is, $\nu_{j}=\mp \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that $\nu \pm \varepsilon_{j}$ is Weyl group equivalent to $\sigma(\nu)$. The lemma is proved.

It remains to show that the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is of the desired form for one $\lambda^{\prime}$. Pick $\lambda^{\prime}$ so that $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}>\ldots>\lambda_{r}^{\prime}$. Then $\lambda^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{i}$ is a highest weight for all $i=1, \ldots, r$. Since the infinitesimal character of $\Theta\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ is equal to $\nu \pm \varepsilon_{j}$ for some $j$ it follows, from Proposition 10.1 that $\lambda_{i}= \pm \nu_{j}$. This shows that every $\lambda_{i}$ is up to a sign equal to an entry of $\nu$. Since the absolute rank of $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a)$ is bigger then $r$, the Weyl group can in any case rearrange the entries of $\nu$ so that it begins with $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$.

Remark 10.4. The correspondence is independent of the real form of the complex dual pair $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+a}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}_{b}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$.

Based on this observation, we can now give a proof of the first correspondence of infinitesimal characters in Theorem 1.2, that is, when $p \leq b \leq q$. Indeed, the above mentioned correspondence of infinitesimal characters is also equal to that of the dual pair $\mathfrak{s o}(p, b-p) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(p+a)$. This uniquely determines the $\nu_{i}$ 's in Proposition 10.2 and proves the first correspondence in Theorem 1.2.

## 11. Correspondence of infinitesimal characters

In order to determine the correspondence of infinitesimal characters for the dual pair $\mathfrak{s o}_{p+a}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}_{b}(\mathbb{C})$ (Theorem 1.2) it remains to determine the $\nu_{i}$ 's in Proposition 10.2 if $b<p$. Recall that for every $p \leq q-1$ we have an embedding

$$
V \subseteq \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\min }}[S \otimes \exp (-\chi)],
$$

where $\chi$ is given by Theorem 7.1 if $p=q-1$ and is constructed by means of $\eta$ as explained in (21), otherwise. Next, for every $r=1, \ldots, p$ consider

$$
G_{r, r} \times_{\mu_{2}} G_{p-r, q-r} \subseteq G_{p, q}
$$

where the simple roots of $G_{r, r}$ are $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1}, \varepsilon_{r-1}+\varepsilon_{r}$.
Let $P^{\prime}=M^{\prime} A^{\prime} N^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}=M^{\prime \prime} A^{\prime \prime} N^{\prime \prime}$ be the minimal parabolic subgroups of $G_{r, r}$ and $G_{p-r, q-r}$, respectively, in standard position with respect to our choices of simple roots. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{\prime} & =-\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{r}\right)+\left(\frac{p+q}{2}-r\right) \mathbf{1}_{r}, \\
\chi^{\prime \prime} & =-\left(\chi_{r+1}, \ldots, \chi_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 11.1. For every $r=1, \ldots, p$ there exists a nonzero homomorphism of $G_{r, r} \times$ $G_{p-r, q-r}$-modules

$$
V \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P^{\prime}}^{G_{r, r}}\left[S^{\prime} \otimes \chi^{\prime}\right] \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{P^{\prime \prime}}^{G_{p-r, q-r}}\left[S^{\prime \prime} \otimes \chi^{\prime \prime}\right]
$$

for some $M^{\prime} \times M^{\prime \prime}$-summand $S^{\prime} \otimes S^{\prime \prime}$ of $S$. In particular - for this $\chi^{\prime}$ and $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ - we have a correspondence of infinitesimal characters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime} \longleftrightarrow\left(\chi^{\prime \prime}, \rho_{q-p}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $V$ is a submodule of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\min }}[S \otimes(-\exp \chi)]$, the Frobenius reciprocity implies that there exists a non-trivial homomorphism of $P_{\min }$-modules $V \rightarrow S \otimes \exp (\rho-\chi)$. Restricting to $P^{\prime} \times P^{\prime \prime}$ and using the Frobenius reciprocity again, proves the lemma.

As we shall see in a moment, the correspondence (27) gives $\nu_{i}$ 's if $q-p \leq b<q$. In order to deal with $b<q-p$ we need one more statement. If $b<q-p$ then $a>p$. For such $a$ let $P_{a}=M_{a} A_{a} N_{a}$ be the minimal parabolic subgroup of $G_{p, a} \subseteq G_{p, q}$. In particular, we have the following obvious lemma:
Lemma 11.2. Assume that $a+b=q$ and $a>p$. There exists a non-zero homomorphism of $G_{p, a} \times \operatorname{Spin}(b)$-modules

$$
V \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{a}}^{G_{p, a}}[S \otimes \chi] \otimes \mathbb{C}
$$

In particular we have a correspondence of two the infinitesimal characters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\chi+\frac{b}{2} \mathbf{1}_{p}, \rho_{a-p}\right) \longleftrightarrow \rho_{b} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The first correspondence in the theorem was established in Remark 10.4. It remains to deal with $b<p$.
Case 1: $q=p+1$. In this case $\chi=\frac{1}{2}(p, p-1, \ldots, 1)$ and, up to a Weyl group action, (27) becomes

$$
\left(\frac{p-r}{2}, \frac{p-r-1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{p-2 r+1}{2}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\frac{p-r}{2}, \frac{p-r-1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

If we cancel out the same numbers from both sides of the above correspondences until one side is empty, then the remaining numbers are $\nu_{i}$ 's. If $b$ is even, we use $r=b / 2$. Then the remaining terms are on the left side. They are

$$
\left(\frac{p-2 r}{2}, \frac{p-2 r-1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(\frac{p-b}{2}, \frac{p-b-1}{2} \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

and this is $\mu_{p-b, p-b+1}$, as desired. If $b$ is odd, then we use $r=(a+p) / 2$, and the remaining terms are on the right side. They are

$$
\left(0, \frac{-1}{2}, \ldots \frac{p-2 r+1}{2}\right)=\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}, \ldots,-\frac{p-b}{2}\right)
$$

and this is $\delta_{p-b+1, p-b+1}$, up to a Weyl group action. This proves the third correspondence. Case 2: $q>p+1$. We set $e=0$ if $r$ is even and $e=\frac{1}{2}$ if $r$ is odd. Let $m=\frac{p-1}{2}$ and $m^{\prime}=\frac{q}{2}$. Then up to a Weyl group action, (27) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m-r+1, m-r+2, \ldots, m-\frac{r}{2}+e ; m^{\prime}-r+\frac{1}{2}, m^{\prime}-r+\frac{3}{2}, \ldots, m^{\prime}-\frac{r+1}{2}-e\right) \\
& \longleftrightarrow\left(1,2, \ldots, m-\frac{r}{2}+e ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots, m^{\prime}-\frac{r+1}{2}-e\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, we remove the same set of numbers from both sides of the above correspondences until one side is empty. Then the numbers that are left behind would be the $\nu_{i}$ 's. Set $b=2 r$ and assume that $b<p$. Then $r<m, r<m^{\prime}$ and $\left(\nu_{i}\right)=\mu_{p-b, q-b}$. This gives the second correspondence of Theorem 1.2. If we set $p+a=2 r$ and assume that $b=p+q-2 r<p$ then, since $r \leq p$, we must also have that $b \geq q-p$. In this case we get $\left(\nu_{i}\right)=\delta_{p-b+1, q-b}$. This gives the third correspondence of Theorem 1.2 for the case $p>b \geq q-p$.

Finally if $b$ is odd, $b \leq q-p$ and $b<p$, then we refer to Lemma 11.2. Then up to a Weyl group action, (28) becomes

$$
\left(\rho_{b}, \rho_{p-b+1}, \rho_{q-b+1}\right) \longleftrightarrow \rho_{b}
$$

This gives $\left(\nu_{i}\right)=\delta_{p-b, q-b+1}$ and proves the third correspondence. With this, we complete the proof of Case 2 and also the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Since the annihilator of $V^{ \pm}$in $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ is the same as the annihilator of $V$ in $\mathfrak{s o}(q+1, p-1)$ Theorem 1.1 also gives a matching of infinitesimal characters for $V^{ \pm}$except when $q=p-1$. In this case we have the following:

Theorem 11.3. Assume $q=p-1$. Assume that $a$ and $b$ are positive integers such that $a+b=p-1$. Then $V^{+}, V^{-}, V_{o}^{+}$and $V_{o}^{-}$establish the following correspondence of infinitesimal characters for the dual pair $\mathfrak{s o}(p, a) \times \mathfrak{s o}(b)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b}{2}}, \mu_{p-b, p-b-1}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b}{2}}\right) \text { if } b \text { is even } \\
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b-1}{2}}, \delta_{p-b, p-b}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{b-1}{2}}\right) \text { if } b \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where we recall $\delta_{p-b, p-b}=\left(\rho_{p-b}, \rho_{p-b+1}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{p-b-1}{2}, \frac{p-b-2}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$, up to a Weyl group action.
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