## A generalization of Henderson's theorem

 $\mathsf{Haina}\ \mathsf{Wang}^*$ 

Department of Chemistry Torquato Lab, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

E-mail: hainaw@princeton.edu

**Theorem 1.** Suppose the Hamiltonian H of a system in the canonical ensemble at  $\rho$ , T is given by a linear sum or integral

$$H = \sum_{i} K_i f_i \left(\{\vec{r}\}\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $K_i$  are real numbers,  $f_i$  are non-constant functions of particle coordinates  $\{\vec{r}\}$ .

If for two equilibrium systems at  $\rho, T$ ,  $\langle f_i \rangle = \langle f'_i \rangle$  for all i, where  $\langle \rangle$  is ensemble average over the equilibrium distribution of configurations (or volume average if ergodic), then we have  $K_i = K'_i$  for all i.

For example, for the LJ 6-12 potential, i = 1, 2,  $\langle f_1 \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{r^{12}} \rangle$ ,  $\langle f_2 \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{r^6} \rangle$ . The generalized Henderson's theorem states that if two LJ 6-12 potentials give the same  $\langle \frac{1}{r^{12}} \rangle$  and  $\langle \frac{1}{r^6} \rangle$  under equilibrium, then their parameters must be the same.

For general pairwise additive potentials, the sum in eqn. 1 is an integral over r.  $\langle f(r) \rangle$  is surface area of a n-dimensional sphere with radius r multiplied by  $g_2(r)$ , and K(r) is simply the pair potential U(r). Then we recover the original Henderson's theorem.

The generality of the theorem is that the potential doesn't have to be pairwise additive, because  $f_i$  can be any function of particle coordinates. Also,  $\langle f_i \rangle$  can be any ensemble average of a "feature" of the configuration. It doesn't have to be n-point distribution function.

*Proof.* The proof is exactly analogous to Henderson's proof about radial distribution functions.

Let  $H_1, H_2$  be two potentials that can be written as eqn. 1 with the same forms of  $f_i$ , but possibly different  $K_i$ .

Let  $\langle \rangle_1$  and  $\langle \rangle_2$  be averages over equilibrium distributions of configurations under potentials  $H_1, H_2$ , respectively. Then for free energies,

$$\langle G_2 \rangle_2 \le \langle G_2 \rangle_1 \tag{2}$$

Here, equality holds if and only if equilibrium distributions 1 and 2 are the same, therefore the potentials  $H_1, H_2$  differ by no more than a constant.

From the equation above,

$$\langle H_2 \rangle_2 - TS_2 \le \langle H_2 \rangle_1 - TS_1 \tag{3}$$

where S is the entropy.

If  $\langle f_i \rangle_1 = \langle f_i \rangle_2$  for all  $i, \langle H_2 \rangle_1 = \langle H_2 \rangle_2$ . Then at positive  $T, S_2 \ge S_1$ .

By symmetry,  $S_2 \leq S_1$ . Therefore  $S_1 = S_2$ .

So the equality in eqn. 2 holds, and the potentials differ by no more than a constant. Since both potentials can be written has eqn. 1 where  $f_i$  are non-constant, we have same  $K_i$  for both  $H_1, H_2$ .